

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,104	02/04/2004	Scott Lewallen	2126-14-3	5058
John M. Janeway GRAYBEAL JACKSON HALEY LLP			EXAMINER .	
			BUMGARNER, MELBA N	
155 - 108th Avenue NE, Suite 350 Bellevue, WA 98004-5973			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
	,			·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	• •		08/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·		į, i	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/772,104	LEWALLEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melba Bumgarner	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciation appreciation approach and the second s	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY AND A STATE OF T	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 № 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matter		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 40-46 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received: ts have been received in Appority documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/29/05, 8/31/04. 	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/772,104 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Invention I claims 1-39 in the reply filed on May 25, 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner can search and examine the claims in each of these groups without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the combination of the hand piece claims do not require the particulars of the subcombination of the apparatus and the method claims do not require the apparatus, and the apparatus has separate utility of a device for storage of data and display. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.
- 2. Claims 40-46 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 28, 30, and 36 are objected to because of the following informalities: claims 28 and 30 have improper preamble, claim 36 does not further limit the claimed limitations.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 is incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements, for example the claim preamble reads "[a]n apparatus to

Art Unit: 3732

determine the proximity of a dental instrument in a tooth's root canal to the canal's apical foramen while using the dental instrument"; however, the positively claimed elements are only a signal generator and microprocessor. It is unclear whether the dental instrument is intended to be positively claimed as it is limited in claims 2, 9, 10, and 23. The claimed invention is an apparatus, it is unclear as to the apparatus of the apparatus in claim 3. In claim 8, the language is not clear as to what is meant by and the purpose of "a reference impedance coupled between the first node and a second node" in that it is not functional in the microprocessor or other element, such as in generating the proximity signal. Also, similar language used in claims 27, 29 and 37 in reference to reference impedance. Recitation of "the patient" in claims 8, 27, 29, and 37, "the proximity indication" in claims 2 and 9, "the divider" in claims 4 and 5, "the digital display" in claims 20 and 21, "the stimulation signal frequency" in claims 31 and 38, and "the stimulation voltage" in claim 34 lack sufficient antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

7. Claims 1-3, and 5 are rejected as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Salesky (4,526,179). Salesky discloses an apparatus to indicate the proximity of the end (tip) of a dental instrument to the root canal's apical foramen (column 2 line 67) comprising a signal generator (oscillator device) and a microprocessor (determinator device, arithmetic unit), the signal generator and microprocessor are capable of performing the intended use described.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 4, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salesky. Salesky discloses an apparatus that shows the limitations as described above; however, Salesky does not show lookup table. It would have been an obvious matter of choice to one having ordinary skill in the art to use a lookup table in lieu of an arithmetic equation as values on a table would be defined by the equation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have a digital converter for analog signals input to the microprocessor.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached at 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,104

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

a Bunganu

Page 5

Melba Bumgarner

Primary Examiner